

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION STAFF

GEORGE PROAKIS, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING LORI MASSA, SENIOR PLANNER AMIE HAYES, PLANNER MELISSA WOODS, PLANNER DAWN PEREIRA, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT **Case #:** ZBA 2013-58 **Date:** January 30, 2014

**Recommendation:** Conditional Approval

# PLANNING STAFF REPORT<sup>1</sup>

Site: 595 Somerville Avenue

**Applicant Name:** Douglas Beaudet

**Applicant Address:** 14 Ibbetson Street, Somerville, MA 02143

**Property Owner Name:** JAC Realty Trust

Property Owner Address: 330 NE36th Street, #115, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308

**Agent Name:** Richard G. Di Girolamo

Agent Address: 424 Broadway, Somerville, MA 02145

**Alderman:** Thomas Taylor

<u>Legal Notice</u>: Applicant, Douglas Beaudet, and Owner, JAC Realty Trust, seek a Special Permit under SZO §7.11.1.c to establish four dwelling units in a new mixed-use building with a small retail component; a Special Permit under SZO §9.13.b to alter parking design standards; a Special Permit under SZO §9.13.d to park on a separate lot; and a Special Permit under SZO §9.13.e to share parking. BA zone. Ward 3.

Dates of Public Hearing: Zoning Board of Appeals – Wednesday, December 11, 2013

#### I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. <u>Subject Property:</u> The subject parcel is 3,907 square feet, located at the corner of Somerville Avenue and Spring Street. The parcel is entirely paved in asphalt due to the existing use as a sales and service auto facility. There is a mobile trailer on-site to serve as an office for the auto use. There has been no prior zoning relief given to the subject parcel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Updated through January 30, 2014 to reflect changes since the last Staff Report, issued December 5, 2013. Text added is colored orange and removed text is <del>crossed out</del> and colored orange.





595 Somerville Avenue, parcel outlined in red 587-593 Somerville Avenue, parcel outlined in blue

2. <u>Proposal:</u> The proposal is to build a mixed use structure 35' in height with a footprint that is 2,120 square feet and a 1.35 floor area ratio (FAR). The ground floor retail space is approximately 400 square feet with an entry on Somerville Avenue. Unit 1 would be ADA accessible due to the dedicated area for the installation of a Limited Use Limited Application Elevator Lift (LULA) and approximately 1379 square feet. The remaining units would be 870 square feet and all units are two bedrooms. The main residential entrance would be located on Spring Street. Four parking spaces would be located beneath the cantilevered rear portion of the structure, one for each residential unit, while each space would also have a bike rack located on the wall in front.

The Somerville Avenue façade is three bays with a heavy cornice line at the top. The first floor has a center entry for the commercial component and four glazed or frosted windows on either side. The west or Spring Street façade is six bays with a projecting center entry for the residential component. Windows or panels are located on either side of the entrance with panels being used to obscure views of the cantilevered rear parking. This façade also features a second and third story balcony with exterior space dedicated to each of the four units. The rear façade also has three bays while the right side façade illustrates three bays with a residential entrance at grade to access the ADA unit. Materials would include

cementitious fiber board, vinyl windows, architectural shingles, a storefront system, and cement trim boards.

Storage for Unit 1 has been relocated to where the mechanical space was previously located within the front foyer. This addresses ADA concerns, as all four storage spaces are now accessible from the front foyer. See AAB Rules and Regulations 521 CMR 10.5, which states "equivalent *accessible* storage shall be provided to residents."

Due to the project simultaneously proposed at 587-593 Somerville Avenue, the Applicants of both projects have decided to move forward with a combined site plan to better address access, landscape, trash, and parking between the two projects.

#### Site Plan

The site plan provides access to both development sites from a shared driveway off Spring Street. The existing curb cut would be reduced by approximately 18' on the south side and increased by approximately 8' on the north side for a 20' access drive. The subject proposal does not currently have any landscaping; however, the landscape would increase to approximately 10% with this proposal. Pervious pavers would be located between the two new proposed structures while two landscaped areas would be located on either side of the residential entry with a public bench located around the corner on Spring Street. Three public bike racks and four new street trees would be placed along Somerville Avenue as part of this combined site plan. The trash area would be enclosed and located at the rear of the adjacent development, abutting the rear of a garage. There will be two curb cuts closed along Somerville Avenue which will increase the on-street parking.

# **Parking**

The parking calculation requires a total of 7 spaces for the subject development; six spaces for residential and one space for the retail component. Four parking stalls are located beneath the cantilevered rear portion of the subject structure while the remaining three would be 8'x16' compact spaces, located in the northeast corner of the adjacent development site, and shared with the retail component of this adjacent development.

- 3. Green Building Practices: There are no green building practices indicated on the application.
- 4. Comments:

*Fire Prevention*: Fire Prevention was satisfied upon understanding that there would be 20' of unobstructed access at the rear of the building.

Traffic & Parking: Has been contacted but has not yet submitted comments.

#### Memo dated January 2, 2014 to ZBA, from Planning Staff:

The applicants, Thomas Tuton and Douglas Beaudet, propose to redevelop properties at both 587-593 and 595 Somerville Avenue, respectively. The redevelopment of 595 Somerville Ave consists of a three-story residential building with four residential units and 406 square feet of ground floor commercial space. The redevelopment of 587-593 Somerville Ave consists of a four story residential building with seven residential units total and two commercial units with 707 square feet of commercial space each. The proposed redevelopment of 587-593 and 595 Somerville Ave will be integrated and have shared parking spaces and a shared driveway.

The applicants have hired a professional Transportation Firm, Design Consultants, Inc. (DCI) to prepare a

Parking and Trip Generation Study.

The redevelopment of 595 Somerville Ave per the Somerville Zoning Ordinance (SZO) requires 7 off-street parking spaces. Four off-street parking spaces are being provided. Three required parking spaces are being provided on the adjacent site, to be shared with the commercial components of both projects. Each of the four residential units has a dedicated space on-site beneath the cantilever at the rear of the building.

The redevelopment of 587-593 Somerville Ave per the SZO requires 15 off-street parking spaces. Ten off-street parking spaces are being provided. Five required parking spaces are not being provided. Each of the seven residential units has a dedicated parking space on-site, either beneath the cantilever at the rear of the building or behind the historic structure.

The applicants are requesting three shared parking spaces for commercial use and overflow residential or visitor use. A driveway to be shared between the two parcels and three compact parking spaces (8' x 16') instead of the required three (9' x 18') parking spaces is also proposed by the applicant.

The submitted Parking and Trip Generation Study has provided information from the Institute of Transportation Engineers Planning Handbook ITE (3<sup>rd</sup> Edition) that explain compact sized parking spaces are the norm for the size of new vehicles. This ITE publication is accepted and recognized as a professional industry standard by Traffic Engineers. Traffic and Parking does not disagree with this assessment and is not opposed to the number of compact parking spaces.

The Parking and Trip Generation Study also discusses shared parking. The study submitted documentation from the Institute of Transportation Engineer's (ITE) Parking Generation ( $4^{th}$  Edition) that use of residential parking spaces demand is at the highest from 11:00PM - 6:00AM and the highest demand for commercial spaces is from 11:00AM - 10:00PM. This ITE publication is also accepted and recognized as a professional industry standard by Traffic Engineers. Traffic and Parking does not disagree with this assessment.

The submitted Parking and Trip Generation Study also discusses the shared driveway. The submitted study concluded that due to the low traffic demand for the proposed redevelopment, the complimentary nature of the land uses that the shared driveway can sufficiently serve the needs of both the residential units and commercial retail use of both 595 and 587-593 Somerville Ave. Traffic and Parking does not object to this conclusion. However Traffic and Parking has concerns concerning vehicle conflicts from the shared driveway, the driveway immediately across the street and conflicts from vehicles onto this two way portion of Spring Street. These conflicts could result in vehicles avoiding this area and seeking parking spaces on Somerville Avenue rather than using the shared driveway and shared parking spaces.

The Parking and Trip Generation Study also conducted a parking inventory of the nearby public parking supply. This inventory determined that there were sufficient available on-street parking spaces to accommodate the five off-street parking spaces not being provided as required by the SZO. The parking inventory supports this assumption and Traffic and Parking does not object to its overall findings.

As stated above, the fourteen off-street and three shared parking spaces, provided for these combined projects, appears to be sufficient. However there will be a minor impact relative to the available parking supply as motorists seek out on-street parking spaces. This activity will have an impact on the surrounding public transportation network. As a result, there will be a minor increase in traffic congestion and delay due to not fully providing the required off-street parking spaces. There will also be a slight decrease in pedestrian and bicycle safety due to this factor. To alleviate this condition, traffic mitigation is

#### required.

Regarding the traffic mitigation, Traffic and Parking, from a historical perspective, believes that the public parking spaces along the sidewalk in the vicinity of 587-593 and 595 Somerville Avenue would be in continuous use by patrons of the commercial/retail businesses. Parking space turnover is thus paramount so that public parking spaces will be available. In order to provide for this required turnover, the Applicants should purchase and deliver to the City 8 total IPS Group single space parking meters or their equivalent as determined by Traffic and Parking. Five meters should be supplied by the applicant for 587-593 Somerville Avenue and 3 shall be supplied by the applicant for 595 Somerville Avenue. These parking meters are/will be state of the art and are programmable for both rates and time duration and will accept credit cards, cash or pay by telephone. These parking meters will provide for frequent parking space turnover.

Provided the above traffic mitigation as discussed above is incorporated as a condition, Traffic and Parking does not object to this application.

Wiring Inspection: The Wiring Inspector explained that a transformer should be shared between both development parcels, dependant upon NSTAR approval, and that this should be located at the rear of the property near the trash area.

Lights and Lines: Has been contacted but has not yet submitted comments.

*Engineering*: Has been in contact with IES Inc. who is preparing a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for the adjacent site, 587-593 Somerville Avenue.

Ward Alderman: Has been contacted but has not yet submitted comments.

Design Review Committee:

9/26/2013 - DRC recommendations on the design for 595 Somerville Avenue include:

- simplify the brackets on the Spring Street porches;
- Enclose the first floor of the porches to appear integral to the building and not tacked onto; and
- Extend the Spring Street wall that hides parking to meet the end of the building above.

The Committee discussed the challenges and realities of the tucked in parking in the back of the building.



View from the corner of Somerville Ave and Spring St

<u>10/24/2013</u> - The DRC recommendations on the design for 595 Somerville Avenue include:

• The obscured glass at the first floor residential unit is still awkward because of the similar patterning to the commercial space and the proximity of this residential living space to the public sidewalk. Instead of frosted glass, panels in the same pattern or display boxes for the adjacent commercial tenant could be used.

The Applicant will incorporate the suggestions and proceed to the Zoning Board of Appeals.



595 and 589-593 Somerville Avenue Elevation

# II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §7.11.1.c, §9.13.b, §9.13.d, & §9.13.e):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

Under SZO §7.11.1.c, a Special Permit required is in a Business A district to construct 4-6 housing units. A Special Permit is required to park on a separate lot under SZO §9.13.d, to share parking under SZO §9.13.e and, as the three shared spaces will also be compact parking stalls, a Special Permit is required to alter parking design standards under SZO §9.13.b.

In considering a Special Permit under §9.13 of the SZO the Applicant must be able to demonstrate that granting the requested special permit would not cause detriment to the surrounding neighborhood through any of the criteria as set forth under SZO §9.13, which are as follows:

- 1) increase in traffic volumes;
- 2) increased traffic congestion or queuing of vehicles;
- 3) change in the type(s) of traffic;
- 4) change in traffic patterns and access to the site;
- 5) reduction in on-street parking; and
- 6) unsafe conflict of motor vehicle and pedestrian traffic.

Parking on a separate lot, shared parking, and compact parking stalls would not cause detriment to the surrounding neighborhood as each residential unit would be provided with one dedicated parking space, additional on-street parking will be provided by closing curb cuts along Somerville Avenue, and the curb

cut along Spring Street would be reduced to align with the rear access drive. The proposed use would likely reduce the overall amount of traffic as the rear access provides clear entry and exit lanes. While the type of traffic would likely change, due to the change in use from sales and service automotive to predominantly residential, the type of traffic would be more appropriate to the residential structures at the rear of the development. Traffic patterns will also likely change as well as access to the rear of the site would be from Spring Street; however, pedestrians would have better access and fewer vehicle conflict while overall access to the site would be more appropriate. The proposal would increase on-street parking by 2-5 additional spaces, which would further reduce any existing conflict for vehicles or pedestrians along Somerville Avenue.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to "promoting the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to conserve the value of land and buildings; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to preserve and increase the amenities of the municipality."

The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the Business A district, §6.1.6, which is, "To establish and preserve business areas bordering main thoroughfares that are attractive to a wide range of uses, including retail business and services, housing, government, professional and medical offices, and places of amusement. While it is anticipated that most users will arrive by motor vehicle, it is intended that the area should be safe for and conducive to pedestrian traffic."

The proposal is to construct a mixed-use development that will implement the SomerVision Comprehensive Plan, which refers to new zoning, which is appropriate to the pedestrian and transit-oriented nature of this proposal. This project will create housing near transit, a streetwall which will enhance the pedestrian quality of this corridor, and incorporate a mix of uses to increase the neighborhood amenities that will activate the street and help establish a sense of place. Together, these will enhance the neighborhood west of Union Square and facilitate interest in this corridor.

In considering a Special Permit under §9.13 of the SZO the SPGA may grant such a special permit only when consistent with the purposes set forth in Section 9.1.

The subject proposal promotes traffic safety through on-site parking, clear entry and exit lanes, additional on-street parking, and less pedestrian conflict. As the proposed use is more appropriate to the site, the carrying capacity of Somerville Avenue and Spring Street would become more efficient while the additional on-street parking would increase and be available to the larger community. Eliminating several curb cuts would also reduce pedestrian hazards. The proposed use, as well as shared access, protects adjoining lots and is more considerate to the residential structures at the rear of the parcel. The proposal dedicates a number of new bike parking spaces to each residential unit as well as locates three new bike racks along Somerville Avenue.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The parcel is located in a Business A district and abuts residential properties to the rear, Spring Street to the west and a proposed development site to the east at 587-593 Somerville Avenue, which proposes to

Page 8 of 13

Date: January 30, 2014 Case #: ZBA 2013-58 Site: 595 Somerville Avenue

share a site plan with the subject project. The subject parcel is located near Wilson Square at the corner of Somerville Avenue and Spring Street. Somerville Avenue is comprised of a mix of uses that includes other auto body repair shops, restaurants, office and retail while the residential neighborhood north of Somerville Avenue is comprised of single, two-, three- and multi-family dwellings.

The use, site plan and building design will not be detrimental to the Somerville Avenue corridor nor the adjacent residential neighborhood and have been devised to be compatible with the built and unbuilt surrounding area. The proposed four-unit residential use with a small ground floor retail component is more appropriate for this commercial corridor and adjacent residential neighborhood than the existing auto oriented use as the proposed structure is located at the sidewalk, incorporates ground floor retail, is pedestrian friendly and activates this corner of the intersection. The site plan, as combined with the adjacent proposed development at 587-593 Somerville Avenue, provides for a shared access drive, parking, and a enclosed trash area while simultaneously closing curb cuts to add on-street parking, three new public bike racks, and four new street trees. The building design proposes hardy materials and a contextual building design that is compatible with the adjacent residential neighborhood, continues the Somerville Avenue streetwall, develops the character of the streetscape, and enhances the pedestrian quality of the corridor.

A shadow study illustrates that during the summer solstice, the proposed development will cast a shadow along Somerville Avenue in the morning and cast shadows within the rear parking lot in the evening. The fall/spring equinox will cast shadows on Spring Street and Somerville Avenue in the morning, and by evening, this shadow will extend toward the buildings facing Pitman Street. The winter solstice casts shadows across Spring Street into the parking lot behind Somerville Avenue in the morning. This shadow extends toward Pitman Street in the evening. This proposal does not shadow adjacent buildings; however, during the winter solstice, the shadow becomes closest to adjacent buildings.

## Lighting has been added to the ground floor entrance for Unit 1.

5. <u>Adverse environmental impacts:</u> The proposed use, structure or activity will not constitute an adverse impact on the surrounding area resulting from: 1) excessive noise, level of illumination, glare, dust, smoke, or vibration which are higher than levels now experienced from uses permitted in the surrounding area; 2) emission of noxious or hazardous materials or substances; 3) pollution of water ways or ground water; or 4) transmission of signals that interfere with radio or television reception.

The proposal would not result in an adverse impact on the surrounding area as the proposed use is more appropriate than the existing use, the site plan is efficient and considerate of the surrounding residential parcels. The building design proposes quality materials and a contextual building design that is compatible with Somerville Avenue and the adjacent residential neighborhood.

6. <u>Vehicular and pedestrian circulation:</u> The circulation patterns for motor vehicles and pedestrians which would result from the use or structure will not result in conditions that create traffic congestion or the potential for traffic accidents on the site or in the surrounding area.

The subject proposal promotes traffic safety through on-site parking, clear entry and exit lanes, additional on-street parking, and less pedestrian conflict. As the proposed use is more site appropriate, the carrying capacity of Somerville Avenue and Spring Street would become more efficient while the additional on-street parking would increase and be available to the larger community. Eliminating several curb cuts would also reduce pedestrian hazards. The proposed use, as well as shared access, protects adjoining lots and is more considerate to the residential structures at the rear of the parcel. The proposal dedicates a number of new bike parking spaces to each residential unit as well as locates three new bike racks along Somerville Avenue.

Page 9 of 13

Date: January 30, 2014 Case #: ZBA 2013-58 Site: 595 Somerville Avenue

The Traffic and Parking Assessment concludes that the combined development proposal (587-593 & 595 Somerville Avenue) would have an insignificant impact on the permit parking supply for the surrounding area and that sharing a driveway and on-site compact parking spaces would not exceed capacity of the site nor create issues regarding access to the site. The proposal is expected to generate traffic similar to, if not less than, existing traffic conditions. Capacity issues are not anticipated with regard to a shared driveway, which are estimated at a maximum of 14 peak hour trips for both the combined development proposal; however, this does not account for proximity to transit nor to future transit stations, which would further reduce this estimate. Shared parking is expected to be sufficient with regard to the residential and retail demand as the timing for these peak uses is offset. In a predominantly residential setting, with regard to compact parking stalls, the use of smaller parking stalls is reasonable due to the small turnover rate, as the 9'x18' dimensions specified in the SZO are for a broad range of parking facilities. As compact cars have increased in popularity and the average size of most vehicle classifications is less than 16'in length and 6' in width, reduced size parking stalls would be appropriate and viable for this combined development proposal. The closure of several curb cuts will provide several new on-street parking stalls, to be available for use by the larger public. Census data indicates that 1/4 of Somerville residents do not own a vehicle and more than half travel to work by alternate transportation modes. The site is served by several bus routes and both the Porter and Harvard Square stations are less than one mile away, providing easy access to the larger metropolitan area. Upon completion of the Green Line Extension, the Union Square Station would be located within one mile as well. The parking study also indicates a substantial amount of underutilized parking permit spaces are located within 500 feet of the development, which does not include the Conway Park lot, handicap parking, or loading zones. The shared driveway and parking, additional on-street parking, proximity to public transit, mode choice precedent, existing parking conditions, and trip generation estimates illustrate that the combined development proposal is appropriate for the site and will not be detrimental to this commercial corridor or the surrounding residential neighborhood.

Staff has discussed the current two-way to one-way circulation concern of Spring Street with Traffic and Parking. Due to the recent replacement of older signs with new signage (two Do Not Enter signs and two One Way signs), Traffic and Parking do not feel that the addition of 6-8 vehicles entering and exiting into the rear of the proposed parcels will significantly impact the current traffic pattern. Additionally, Pitman Street funnels traffic back toward Somerville Avenue and is not a shortcut through the neighborhood, so as this route is not a shortcut, the one-way offenders are likely knowledgeable neighborhood residents.

#### III. RECOMMENDATION

# Special Permit under SZO §7.11.1.c, §9.13.b, §9.13.d, & §9.13.e:

Based on the materials submitted by the Applicant, the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **SPECIAL PERMIT.** 

The recommendation is based upon a technical analysis by Planning Staff of the application material based upon the required findings of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance, and is based only upon information submitted prior to the public hearing. This report may be revised or updated with new recommendations, findings and/or conditions based upon additional information provided to the Planning Staff during the public hearing process.

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for Special Permunits in a new mixed-use buil component, to alter parking d separate lot, and to share park upon the following applicatio submitted by the Applicant:	ding with a small retail esign standards, to park on a ting. This approval is based	BP/CO	ISD/Pln g.	
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	(August 5, 2013)	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	(October 18, 2013)  December 5, 2013  January 29, 2014	Modified plans submitted to OSPCD (A-000, Z-001, A-303, A-100, A-101, A- 110, & A-300)			
	(January 14, 2014) December 5, 2013 January 29, 2014	Landscape Plan			
	(November 20, 2013) December 5, 2013 January 29, 2014	Site Plan			
	(April 18, 2013)  December 5, 2013  January 29, 2014	Civil Site Plan (C-1)			
	(October 18, 2013) January 29, 2014	Shadow Study			
	Any changes to the approved are not <i>de minimis</i> must recei				
Pre	-Construction	1, 1, , , , , ,		Г.	
2			BP	Eng.	

Con	Construction Impacts					
3	The applicant shall post the name and phone number of the general contractor at the site entrance where it is visible to	During Construction	Plng.			
4	people passing by.  The Applicant shall at his expense replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.	СО	DPW			
5	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.	During Construction	T&P			
Desi		1				
6	Applicant shall provide final material samples for siding, trim, windows, and doors (to the Design Review Committee for review and comment and) to Planning Staff for review and approval prior to construction.	BP	Plng.			
7	An exterior light and electrical receptacle is required for the first (or all) level of the porch and an electrical receptacle is required for the second level (if there is no access to the ground).	Final sign off	Wiring Inspecto r			
Site						
8	Landscaping should be installed and maintained in compliance with the American Nurserymen's Association Standards;	Perpetual	Plng. / ISD			
9	The electric, telephone, cable TV and other such lines and equipment shall be placed underground from the source or connection. The utilities plan shall be supplied to the Wiring Inspector before installation.	Installation of Utilities	Wiring Inspector			
10	New sidewalks in front of the development will be installed by the Applicant in accordance with the specifications of the Highway Superintendent. Specifically, all driveway aprons shall be concrete	СО	Plng.			
11	Applicant will screen the dumpster to block any views of the dumpster itself.	СО	Plng.			
12	The Applicant shall provide a bench to be located on the development site at the corner of Somerville Ave and Spring Street.	СО	Plng.			
13	Applicant will supply 4 private bicycle parking spaces within garage and 1 public bicycle racks along Somerville Avenue.	СО	Plng.			
14	Snow plowed from the development shall be limited to onsite storage.	Cont.	ISD.			
15	Pending NSTAR approval, a transformer shall be located at the rear of the site; shall not impact adjacent residential structures, the historic building, or landscape area; shall be fully screened; and be shared between both developments.	Electrical permits &CO	Plng./IS D			

16	Parking spaces dedicated to specific units shall be clearly marked. Parking spaces for the retail component shall be clearly marked for the shared retail establishments.	СО	Plng.				
Mis	Miscellaneous						
17	The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be responsible for maintenance of both the building and all onsite amenities, including landscaping, fencing, lighting, parking areas and storm water systems, ensuring they are clean, well kept and in good and safe working order.	Cont.	ISD				
Pub	lic Safety						
18	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements.	СО	FP				
19	Notification must be made, within the time period required under applicable regulations, to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) if there is any release of oil, hazardous materials, or regulated hazardous substances at the site. The City's OSE office, Fire Department and the Board of Health shall also be notified.	СО	OSE/FP/ BOH				
20	To the extent possible, all exterior lighting must be confined to the subject property, cast light downward and must not intrude, interfere or spill onto neighboring properties.	СО	Plng.				
Fina	al Sign-Off						
21	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.	Final sign off	Plng.				
22	The Applicant shall submit three IPS meters to Traffic and Parking.	CO	Traffic/P kg.				
23	The Applicant shall purchase and plant two street trees to be located along Somerville Avenue in front of the development.	СО	Plng.				
24	The alley shall be appropriately lit near the ground floor residential entrance.	СО	Plng.				

595 Somerville Avenue

